

University of Saskatchewan Students' Union

Annual General Meeting

November 17, 2011

Arts 146

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 6:00 pm.

2. Call for Quorum

Quorum was present.

3. Adoption of the Agenda

Move to adopt the agenda.

AGMMotion01: Councilor Zimmer/Member Zimmer

Carried

4. Adoption of AGM and SGM Minutes.

AGMMotion02: Councilor Anderson (Arts & Sci.)/Councilor Froh

Carried

5. President's Report

President Hitchings stated that he had a short report on what the Executive has been up to. With the 2011-2012 year half completed, President Hitchings would like to take the opportunity to inform the students of the many things the USSU has been up to.

- The Pride Centre – Which is located in the Memorial Union Building, successfully held the first annual USSU Pride Games. Fun events were held to raise awareness about/against homophobia. They also successfully host the USSU Drag Show, which is held every year. Upcoming for the Pride Centre is the Trans-Day of Remembrance.
- The Women's Centre – Also located in the MUB, recently held their Take Back the Night march, which is an event to raise awareness about violence against women. They will also be holding the White Ribbon campaign in association with the VP Student Affairs, Alex Ferwerda, which is also a campaign to raise awareness about men against violence towards women.
- The Help Centre – Located in lower Place Riel, recently held its Mental Health Awareness Week. In addition, they also provide an exam file, which is used almost daily by students.
- The Food Centre – Recently held its Trick 'or' Eat Event through which it raised over 6,000 lbs. of food from the local community to donate to the Food Bank. Soon it will be having a health eating initiative where it will host an awareness event.

- Teaching Excellence Awards – Surveys for the awards are well under way.
- Academic Integrity Week – Recently held and was a huge success. It provided information to students about what academic rights at the University.
- Green Yourself Week – A sustainability event which was also a success, held by VP Ferwerda. This was the fourth year it was held and year after year it gets better and better.
- Hike, Bike & Roll – Another recent event trying to get students to use alternative modes of transportation.
- Welcome Week – Another great success with fantastic weather.
- Place Riel Grand Opening – Officially took place on August 18, 2011.
- Renewal to the Vision Mission and Values of the USSU – A major initiative for the Executive since it is part of the Bylaw. It is a work in progress and will be rewritten by early next year.
- Provincial Elections – The USSU had a few events to promote the elections, including a Candidates Forum.

6. Auditor's Report

6.1 Presentation of Audited Financial Statements by KPMG

Shannon Hanky introduced herself. She is a Audit Senior Accountant at KPMG Saskatoon. She worked on the audit over the past year and expressed her appreciation for being here at the AGM and for working closely with the staff of the USSU.

She stated that the audit opinion, in accordance with to various auditing standards, is clean. In KPMG's auditing opinion, the financial statements present fairly the results of the University of Saskatchewan Students' Union for the fiscal year ending April 30, 2011.

Some highlights of the financial statements are:

- Net assets for the year were \$32,402,577, which is an increase from the 2010 of \$14,490,614. The increase in assets was driven mainly by the Capital cost for the Place Riel construction, which are reflected in the Building Development Cost line on the assets. This is offset by a corresponding by an increase in total liabilities and net assets, which was also driven mainly increase in long-term debt directly related to the construction.
- Statement of Operations – the USSU incurred a loss of \$442,845 in 2011. This is mainly due to reduced revenue earned in the year compared to the prior year. The main reason for this decrease is also due to the Place Riel construction. It resulted in the closure of some of the shops and as well decreased traffic during the construction period. This resulted in the USSU seeing lower revenues from their

operations. But now that the area is up and running again, most of the vendors are in place and we should see this year's revenues returning more to a more normal level.

- Statement of Net Assets – despite of having a loss in the current year, she assured students that the USSU still maintains a healthy net asset balance of \$1,207,351. For the most part, this balance represents surpluses that have accumulated over time.
- Cash Balance – at the end of April 30, 2011 was \$6,578,628. This is a very healthy cash balance.

6.2 Financial Statement Resolution

Be it resolved that the audited financial statements of the University of Saskatchewan Students' Union be accepted for the year ended April 30, 2011, as presented.

AGMMotion03: VP Nystuen/President Hitchings

Carried

6.3 Vice President Operations and Finance Report

VP Nystuen commented that this year marks a major milestone for students and the USSU in that we have reached the completion of the Place Riel project and renovation and expansion. The project took many years of planning and 29 months of construction to complete. This was a \$28 million project and was financed by students through savings in past years of the Infrastructure Fee as well as an \$18.3 million loan, which will be covered by the Fee.

While the construction portion of the project is complete, all of the numbers haven't yet been settled. As it stands now, the project is over budget, which it has been for sometime. Although it is over budget, it remains within its funding and that is to say there was more money available for the project than was budgeted originally. This was to allow to cover unforeseen costs that in any project are bound to come up. This means the USSU should be financially cautious, yet is not a reason for concern.

A positive update on the finance side, Place Riel and the USSU vendors are now open. These operations bring in significant revenues to the Students' Union. Thus far, this year has exceeded the projections we've made in last year's budget. Although these exceeded expectations won't result in a surplus budget for this past year, the hope is that between these rent revenues and fiscal restraint by the USSU, we are able to strengthen the financial position of the Union going forward.

6.4 Auditing Firm Resolution

Be it resolved that the auditing firm of KPMG Chartered Accountants be appointed for the 2011/2012 audit.

AGMMotion04: VP Nystuen/President Hitchings

Carried

7. Proposed Amendments

7.1 Section 4

Move to amend USSU Bylaw Section 4 – insert the words Board of Directors, Executive and Executive Committee into the list of definitions at the beginning of the bylaw.

AGMMotion05: VP Topola/President Hitchings

Carried

7.2 Section 11

Move to amend USSU Bylaw Section 11 to read as follows: “(1) The Annual General Meeting shall be held annually in November. *Audited financial statements and the USSU’s Annual Report will be presented to members at that time.*”

AGMMotion06: VP Nystuen/President Hitchings

Carried

7.3 Section 14

VP Ferwerda commented that the Executive met with Professor Don Sotry over the summer to go over many of the Bylaw amendments and has helped to re-write a number of Bylaws. One of his recommendations, which the Executive were in favor of, was to remove position profiles from the Bylaw. The reason is essentially to make the Bylaw more fluid and provide a way for the Executive to change what is in their portfolios without going to a large meeting.

Member Yelland noted that the hyphens are not needed in “time-to-time”.

Member Abdoulaye asked what is meant by the wording “time-to-time”. President Hitchings commented that it basically means, “as needed” and if anything needs to be changed, it can be done from time-to-time.

Move to make a friendly amendment to Section 14 to replace “time-to-time” with “as needed”.

Member Steele noted that she thinks it is a reasonable thing to submit portfolio changes to a wider council and a wider body because the Executive should be accountable to the Council. Member Steele asked if the implication of this amendment decreases this accountability and asked for clarification.

VP Ferwerda commented that it will be taken out of the Bylaw, however will still be in policy. Within that policy it would still be brought to Council and not to a meeting this size.

Member Steele asked if there would still be a list that everyone could access and there would still be discussion in a wider group than just the Executive. VP Ferwerda agreed.

Member Werenka asked why the Executive chose to have the Board of Directors instead of the USC. President Hitchings commented the main reason was so that in the summer time when University Student Council can't meet, The Board of Directors, being the Executive, could make changes they see fit. It is not an intention to steal any power away from the USC. The Executive wanted the Bylaw to be as fluid as possible so that when the next Executive comes in they can make instant changes. VP Ferwerda noted that at the last SGM, they lost an Executive position and that portfolio got transferred within the Executive.

Member McCulleugh noted that in the previous two Executives, the roles of the VP External and President were often in conflict and as a result, it really changed from year to year which position was handling a lot of the position profile based on who was interested in doing it. He believes this amendment is being proposed simply to allow the Executive to divvy up their work load and work more with their interests.

Move to amend USSU Bylaw Section 14 to read: "For the purposes of this Bylaw the Executive is understood to be the Board of Directors as specified in the Articles of Incorporation under the Non-Profit Corporation Act or any successor legislation. *The Board of Directors has the right, as needed, to amend position profiles for officer positions. These profiles will reside in the USSU's Shared Files.* Member shall elect, for a one-year term, an Executive composed of:"

AGMMotion07: VP Ferwerda/President Hitchings Carried

7.4 Section 16-19

VP Ferwerda commented that this amendment is a continuation of the last amendment.

Councilor Anderson (Arts & Sci) asked why the Executive were crossing out all their responsibilities and what is going to happen by doing so.

VP Ferwerda commented that the position profiles are in and this is does not mean the Executive are getting rid of their responsibilities, it just means they are moving it from a Bylaw, as recommended by Professor Story, to a policy where it is more easily amendable.

Move to amend USSU Bylaw Section 16-19 by striking out all that is included.

AGMMotion08: VP Ferwerda/President Hitchings. Carried

7.5 Section 25

Move to amend USSU Bylaw Section 25 from a Bylaw into a Policy.

AGMMotion09: VP Topola/President Hitchings

Carried

7.6 Section 28

President Hitchings noted this amendment has caused a lot of controversy. Primarily what the Executive are proposing is striking out the words “student society” from within the Bylaw and replacing it with the word “College”. Currently the Bylaw says that student societies have representation on University Students Council, yet at the top of the Bylaw the definition of Student Society includes College, School, Institution and Group. This change makes the wording clearer. With that, there had to be a change to the Indigenous Students’ Council and International Students’ Association. The Executive decided to break these two groups into their own separate subgroup, because neither are colleges and shouldn’t be listed as one. The other change included striking out the word “Association” from International Students’ Association and the word “Council” from the Indigenous Students’ Council. The reason this was done was not to delegitimize any of these groups, but to keep in line with what has been done to the rest of the Bylaw, which is eliminating the perceived relationship that exist between members of University Students Council and their student societies. There has been a lot of confusion in the past about who it is that members of Student Council actually represent. It’s not the case that a member of USC who represents the college of Arts & Science, necessarily has to represent the views of the ASSU, for example. The views of the students’ society are not necessarily the views of the MSC representing the college.

President Hitchings notes that one of the main concerns that has been brought forward is the fact that it seems like the Executive is trying to eliminate or suppress representation of International Students or Indigenous Students on USC. This is simply not the case. With this change, it would not change that if there were over 1000 Indigenous students on this campus, they would still get two student representatives, as with the International Students. One of the reasons for wanting to get rid of the association between Member of University Student Council and the student societies and groups, is the simple fact that campus groups evidently will rise and fall. An example of this is the Indigenous Students’ Council. According to the Bylaw it says there must be representation from the Intentional Students’ Association, which is a group that no longer exists. We cannot control whether or not there will be an Indigenous Students’ Council or Intentional Students’ Association. We can, however, control that there will always be representation from Indigenous and International students on Council and that is the intention of these changes.

Councilor Brown commented that this is not a good situation. It is dangerous in his opinion and slightly repulsive. This started with the changes to student's society, which renders ISC out of that definition and by doing so, they have been placed into a subsection. ISC is not a college, there is no denying that. He believes this issue stems from people speaking on behalf of their student society instead of their own conscience or what they perceive to be the right thing to do. Members on Council are supposed to represent their colleges and not their student societies. Placing ISC in a subsection makes sense because they are not a college, but this means ISC is different. ISC needs a different method to represent the Aboriginal students on campus because they are so spread out among the campus that it is very difficult to talk to everybody. ISC doesn't exist in one sole building. Because of the scattered nature of students there has to be a unique way of representing them. ISC is available to anyone and encourages people to come out and see what they are about. The ISC adds a certain dimension to the USSU. There are many ways of representing students. Colleges and student groups do not represent Aboriginal students the same way ISC represents them. There has been no collaboration with this amendment. If this amendment is passed there are many concerns and would seriously hinder the ability of the ISC and ISA to move information to its students given that they are so scattered around campus. This amendment also removes the accountability of leadership and legitimacy. The amendment accepts the way Aboriginal students can gain a leadership role. There was no foresight when this amendment was put forward and no understanding of the social impacts this has. Do not cap the leadership potential of Aboriginal people. Having ISC on the USSU can do a lot of good things. In the proposed Gordon Oakes Red Bear Centre, ISC has been given space in the new building.

Councilor Thompson commented that the amendment concerned him on a number of different levels. It is first and foremost legislating against people of colour and minorities. The International Students have not had representation on Student Council for the last few months, which is unfortunate. There is concern surround the fact that the International Students may not know about the USSU and what it does. The USSU does not have the confidence in the ISC or the ISA in the ability to maintain leadership. ISC will not fade away and will expand and become stronger and more involved on campus. There are 60,000 First Nations youth under the age of 21 in the province. Taking away the legitimacy of those two organizations that represent the two biggest minorities on campus is a horrific idea.

Give President Hitchings unlimited speaking rights.

AGMMotion10: Councilor Thompson/Member Heidel

Carried

President Hitchings commented that the concern is not to vote this amendment down. The concern is that Council and Association are being removed. This change is ensuring that regardless of what happens, there will

always be Indigenous Students and International students on University Student's Council. To say there is a lack of confidence is false and not the case. The intention of this change and all changes being brought forward is to make the USSU Bylaw as timeless as possible. There is no certainty that the ISC will be the only body representing Aboriginal students on campus in five years. If the ISC becomes something that does not represent Aboriginal students, we do not want people on our Council who do not represent the First Nations people. If the situation was to come up years from now that the ISC does not represent the views of its students, we want to make sure that someone who is not the ISC is able to run and represent the views of Indigenous students.

Member McCullough commented that this amendment narrows the voices of Indigenous students and the way they govern.

President Hitchings commented that changes happen because they aren't working like they use to.

Member Moccasin commented that the ISC engages Aboriginal students. To engage Aboriginal students, the USSU must not remove ISC from the Bylaw.

President Hitchings clarified that the USSU is not saying they do not have confidence in the ISC.

Member Kayira spoke on behalf of the International Students. She commented that the USSU calls this change in the Bylaw housekeeping, but she calls it lethal annihilation of representation. She explains that the international students are found in every college on campus and they need that intermediary that comes with being an Association and should be connected to the USSU in some way. International students pay approximately \$20,000 a year and deserve to know the information of what's going on in the University. The USSU decided to take over the elections for all the Student Unions, but somehow forgot about the international students possibly because there was no International Students' Association. The USSU had a chance to go to ISSAC office and they did not do that. The by-election, which technically takes the body of what is going to happen if this passes, was only listed on the USSU website. By eliminating the International Students' Association or the Indigenous Students' Council from making any implementation on any say on the members that sit to represent that body, how does the USSU understand the intercultural knowledge of those two groups. Those two groups have specific values that they take into account and they are not Canadian citizens, but are Canadian students. Indigenous students have their own way of governing and the USSU needs to recognize that if they are going to implement something that the whole University says about culture and diversity. This amendment was made when there was no student representing the International Students' Association. The election

that is happening for International Student reps is currently taking place. If this amendment passes, the International Student reps would be in office without any say. The USSU does not understand where these two groups are coming from. Passing this amendment kills any chance of these two groups amounting to anything bigger than what they already have.

President Hitchings noted that even if this amendment were to go through, it does not take away from the fact that the ISC would be a group that represents Indigenous students. The same is for the ISA. In taking out those two words, the USSU is still including Indigenous and International student representatives. The USSU is not trying to silence those crowds in any way, shape or form.

Member Aimoe noted that the ISC was formed prior to the Aboriginal Student's Centre in 1981 and is debatable that it would not exist within the next five years.

President Hitchings commented that the USSU wants to ensure that no matter what happens in the future, whether for example the ISC changes its name, the Bylaw wouldn't need to be changed over and over. The USSU wants to ensure that there are always International and Indigenous students on Council. This is reflected in the Bylaw. In the Bylaw, the USSU wants to make sure that no matter what happens, there are representatives for Indigenous and International students on Council.

VP Ferwerda thanked everyone for coming to the meeting and being involved in the discussion. He noted with such a motion, there is a misunderstanding that when these Bylaw changes are made, the USSU does not value Indigenous students or International students on our campus. That is completely not the case. Our organization highly values these groups and that is why we have them in the Bylaw.

Member Aimoe asked President Hitchings to guarantee that the USSU will without a doubt be the representing body in 100 or 500 years.

Member Kreugour spoke on behalf of the College of Arts & Science and noted it is the largest college on campus. The Arts & Science Students' Union is a student elected and faculty supported organization that attends regular departmental student disciplinary meetings and facilitates the opinions of students across all the departments. If the ASSU does not speak for the students in the college then who does? For these reasons, this amendment should be struck down because you are not required to be apart of the ASSU to be the MSC. When you are elected as a MSC you then become a member, not vice versa. The ASSU expects to be informed of issues.

Member Hanson-Pastran spoke on the history of the International Students' Association. The Global Connections Student Committee used to be the student body that represented International Students. MSCs were picked from the student body for that committee. It was not a very democratic process because the Executives were still there and voted between themselves. That has changed in the last couple of years to having an elections committee from the Global Connections Student Committee, with ISSAC overseeing, to elect the Executive of the International Students' Association. Last year when an election was run so that the ISA would be revived, it failed for a number of reasons. This year the conversation started rolling about getting representation on Council. Perhaps the USSU could work with ISSAC and other centres that represent the International Students to strengthen those student associations rather than simply ensuring one representative versus a body of students be that middle body of dialogue. Member Hanson-Pastran asks that the USSU work more collaboratively with ISSAC in the future to ensure there are student associations always running.

Member Lee noted that she worked with the USSU President two years ago to get the ISC running. The reason why they started it was because it was the USSU President's choice and sought her out because he realized how important it was to have a strong, central governing body for Aboriginal students. It is extremely frustrating to deal with setbacks like this amendment is making. The ISC is the closest thing Aboriginal students have to representing all First Nations students on campus. The leaders have made it extremely strong and are the ones who informed the Indigenous students that this amendment was going to be passed and harmful for the ISC. There was no accurate consultation from either the ISC or ISA. Members of those bodies have told the USSU they were not happy with the proposed amendment and didn't feel they were accurately consulted. Saying that Association and Council are just small words and just semantics, is false. It is years of work from so many students. Taking those words out of the Bylaw will set these groups back again to the place where they will have to rebuild to simply have equal representation and right to self governance.

President Hitchings noted that he believes the ISC is one of the strongest student societies that exists on campus. Removing the word Council and Association does not substantially change the way the ISC or ISA operates. It would not take away from the fact the ISC is the representative group of Indigenous students on campus.

Move to separate the amendment into two separate motions.

AGMMotion11: President Hitchings/VP Topola

Member Waldbillig spoke on behalf of the ASSU. The USSU took over elections for the ASSU for the MSCs. The MSCs decided to stop attending ASSU meetings. The ASSU felt disconnected from the USSU as a consequence.

MSCs have been in the ASSU Bylaw for many years and are required to attend meetings. The USSU is saying that MSCs don't necessarily represent college student unions or societies. The ASSU is connected to the faculty, which sees the ASSU as the representatives of the college.

President Hitchings commented that all the problems mentioned by Member Waldbillig, what the USSU is saying is that every single problem the ASSU is currently facing would be faced whether or not this Bylaw is changed. In the USSU Bylaw right now, student society means a college, school, institution or group. This is something that has created a lot of confusion in the past.

Member Heidel noted his support for the amendment. He noted that it empowers student societies and students and gives them even more choice. He believes these amendments provide more clarity. This amendment gives student societies, like the ASSU, more independence to operate themselves and more power to operate over their students.

Member McCullough made reference to the deletion of Subsection 4 from the new amendment. The USSU has been saying that this particular part of the amendment to change "college" is something that is already in the definitions. By deleting this section, the USSU is suggesting that if in the future we think it would be relevant and important to add a women's member of student council or a queer member of student's council, we are going to have to come back to an AGM for it.

Member Paschke would like to make an amendment to part 4 so to say "it shall be the responsibility of elected members to report to their respective students societies about the actions of the USSU and to know how these student societies stand on USSU issues".

AGM Chair noted that this amendment was not given proper notice before the meeting and would not be considered.

Councilor Zimmer commented that there are people in these colleges that feel they are not well represented by their student societies and they have a right to representation as well. This is where he personally takes issue with the fact that the ASSU or the Engineering Students' Society thought they could impeach their MSCs. This is primarily why he would like to speak in favour of the motion.

Motion to call to question.

AGM Motion 12: Councilor Zimmer/Councilor Konkin Carried

Move to change "student society" to "college" and to strike out Part 3 and Part 4.

AGM Motion 13: President Hitchings/VP Topola Defeated

Member Abdoulaye commented that this change is for the better. He asked why the USSU was trying to narrow the selection for MSCs. The reason why the ASSU impeached their representatives was because the students' union did not feel they were fulfilling their position as the people who represent the students. If the ISA and ISC have the power to impeach their MSCs, why must we only allow people from those two associations to represent the Indigenous and International students of the University?

Member Legare-Slokes proposed to change the amendment to include International Students Association and Indigenous Students Council. He commented that he does not think it should be up to the USSU to decide who is going to represent those groups and the onus would then fall on the USSU to decide whether some new group to be held responsible. President Hitchings noted that it is not the USSU's place to decide who the representative of a student group is. Member Legare-Slokes went on to note that this amendment obligates that the USSU would get to work more strongly with the groups.

Councilor Brown commented that they wanted this portion of the amendment to say that if the ISC is not there, the ASC will provide the representatives; if the ISA is not there, the International Study Abroad Centre would provide the representatives. These centres are entwined with these groups. The Aboriginal Students' Centre and the International Study Abroad Centre would be the defaults for the ISC and ISA. This is the addition he would like to see in the amendment.

AGM Chair noted that a Councilor must be elected, as stated in the Bylaw, to represent the students' society. If there were to be representatives sent from the Centres they would not be then elected members of the society. If that were to be proposed, that would be adding an extra change into the Bylaw.

Member McCulleugh noted that Member Legare-Slokes made the first amendment, not Member Brown.

Move to call to question.

AGM Motion 14: Councilor Brown/Councilor Thompson Carried

Move to add Association and Council.

AGM Motion 15: Member Brown/Member Paschke Carried

Member Paschke suggested a friendly amendment to switch colleges to student societies.

President Hitchings noted student society means college.

Member McCullough noted at this point, this amendment is redundant whether it passes or fails, the meaning and the intent will be the same. It would simpler to strike down this amendment and leave everything the way it was before the meeting.

Call to question.

AGMMotion16: Member McCullough/Councilor Thompson. Carried

Move to amend USSU Bylaw Section 28.

AGMMotion17: President Hitchings/VP Nystuen Defeated

6.7 Section 29

President Hitchings noted this amendment affects following proposed amendments.

Move to call to question.

AGMMotion18: Councilor Zimmer/Member Yelland Carried

Move to amend USSU Bylaw Section 29

AGMMotion19: President Hitchings/VP Topola Carried

6.8 Section 34

Move to strikeout subsection 2(a) and replace with “shall pass the budget as present by the Budget and Finance Committee, subject to amendment by Council”; strike out “standing boards and” in subsection 2(b); strikeout subsection 2(d).

AGMMotion20: VP Nystuen/VP Ferwerda Carried

6.9 Section 37

Move to change “Boards” to “Committees”.

AGMMotion21: VP Nystuen/VP Ferwerda Carried

6.10 Section 37(b)

Move to add University Senate.

AGMMotion22: President Hitchings/VP Nystuen Carried

6.10 Section 41

Move to strike out Sections 41, 42 and 43.

AGMMotion23: President Hitchings/VP Topola Carried

6.11 Section 94

Move to amend Section 94 to read “are met, the General Manager or designate shall forward the complaint to the Chair of the Code of Ethics and Disciplinary Committee.”

AGMMotion24: President Hitchings/VP Nystuen Carried

6.12 Section 96

Move to change Disciplinary Committee to Code of Ethics Disciplinary Committee.

AGMMotio25: President Hitchings/VP Ferwerda Carried

8. Questions and Comments for the Executive

Member Waldner asked if the Executive would consider in the future publishing amendments at least 14 days before the deadline so students could amend the amendment. President Hitchings noted there are some logistical problems with doing that and the Bylaw states nine days.

Councilor Brown asked if in the future amendments could be discussed with the group it would affect, in detail and at length. President Hitchings commented he did not see a problem with doing that in the future.

Member Paschke asked if the Executive had any obligation to add to the policy the Board of College Presidents? President Hitchings commented yes.

Member Heidel commented that he is generally happy with what the USSU is doing. The only concern he has had is the lack of information that comes from the USSU about certain things that are happening. Two examples include the By-Election and AGM amendments. President Hitchings commented the USSU would try to advertise things more. VP Nystuen noted the USSU is trying different avenues of advertisement and if anyone had other suggestions to let the Executive know. Councilor Steele commented the announcement channel on PAWS would be a good avenue for advertisement.

Councilor Thompson thanked the Executive for running for their positions and representing undergraduate students. He also thanked the Executive for the free pizza and pop.

Member Daro asked how much the AGM cost in terms of room rental, food, etc. VP Nystuen commented the AGM is budgeted for \$600.

Member Desanghere asked if the Infrastructure Fee would stay constant or changed in the future. VP Nystuen commented that the fee is up to the

discretion of the Operations and Finance Board, who reviews all fees assessed. It will probably need to go up gradually.

Member McCullough asked if the Executive reconsidered the idea of microbrewery built into Louis' and whether or not they had considered the revenue from the offsale. VP Nystuen commented that there is no set plan or initiative going forward to renovate the MUB for the microbrewery.

9. Any Other Business

10. Adjournment

AGM Motion 26: Member Zimmer/Member McCulleugh

Carried

The meeting was adjourned at 9:04 p.m.