

University of Saskatchewan Students' Union Annual General Meeting

November 15, 2012
Arts 146

1. **Call to Order**
The meeting was called to order at 6:11 pm.
2. **Call for Quorum**
Quorum was present.
3. **Adoption of the Agenda**
Move to adopt the agenda.
AGMMotion01: Member Rider/Member Richardson **Carried**
4. **Adoption of AGM minutes**
AGMMotion02: Member Bredohl/Huberdeau **Carried**
5. **President's Report**
President Brown commented he is extremely pleased by what the Executive has done since their term started in the Spring. The Executive have been able to work well together and he is very proud with what each member has done thus far.

The USSU Centres have been involved in a number of events so far:

The Pride Centre:

- Ally Week
- Queerpalooza
- Drag Show

The Women's Centre:

- Take Back the Night
- I Need Feminism Campaign

The Food Centre:

- Trick 'or' Eat

President Brown noted he has tried to work with the other Executive as much as possible and be a resource for each of them as much as possible. President Brown noted he has also been involved with the Arts & Science Department and noted there will be a showcase of revolving student art in

Place Riel. President Brown has also been able to bring a change to the academics on campus, which is a proposed pass/fail system for undergraduates. He has had numerous meetings with different university administrative staff who have all been supportive of the system. Those who would like more information are encouraged to talk to President Brown.

The Teaching Excellence are in full swing, which falls under VP Kanyemba portfolio. Currently there are 74 professor nominations for Term 1, which is a record high.

VP Werenka has been involved in numerous successful events, including Speed Friending, Hike Bike & Roll, Fair Trade Day, etc.

VP Heidel has also been busy with dealing with Campus Groups and creating a guide for the groups as a reference point for questions. In addition, he has made the ratification process more efficient.

The Executive as a group has been involved with the municipal elections. In addition, the Executive has been working on implementing the Summer UPASS, which passed with overwhelming majority.

6. Auditor's Report

6.1 Presentation of Audited Financial Statements by KPMG

Move to give Erin Brown from KPMG speaking rights.

AGMMotion03: VP Heidel/President Brown

Carried

Erin Brown noted the USSU completed the fiscal year on April 30th. KPMG conducted their audit over the summer months and issued a clean audited opinion on August 29th.

The USSU had another successful year with substantial completion of the Place Riel project in the fiscal year, which was a great accomplishment.

On the Statement of Financial positions, there is nothing substantial to note that has changed from the prior year. Total revenues for the year are up about 1.8 million, mostly related to the infrastructure fees that all undergraduate students get charged. Rental incomes have also increased due to more vendors in Place Riel. Total expenses are up about 2 million dollars due to the completion of Place Riel. Overall there was a deficiency of revenue over expenses of about \$770,000.00.

6.2 Financial Statement Resolution

Be it resolved that the audited financial statements of the University of Saskatchewan Students' Union be accepted for the year ended April 30, 2012, as presented.

AGMMotion04: VP Heidel/President Brown

Carried

6.3 Auditing Firm Resolution

Be it resolved that the auditing firm of KPMG Chartered Accountants be appointed for the 2012/2013 audit.

AGMMotion05: VP Heidel/President Brown

Carried

7. Proposed Bylaw Amendments

7.1 Proposed Amendment to Section 2

Member Clouthier commented she put forward this amendment because it should be the responsibility of the USSU to inform the students about their membership and to promote the AGM more effectively.

Move to add at the end of Section 2, "and to promote participation in the decision making of the USSU by educating the undergraduate students about the utility of their membership. The Union will also work to protect and enhance the quality and accessibility of public education."

President Brown noted he would like to speak against this amendment. President Brown commented the wording of the proposal is confusing for future students and too vague. He noted there are many ways to be involved in the decision making process as a student.

Member Clouthier commented this amendment promotes more awareness of how to get involved in student politics. She noted there should be a greater turnout to AGMs with the amount of undergraduate students at the university. People should be made aware more about issues, such as tuition increases and cuts to services. She noted she found the main purpose to be too vague and the amendment is more direct.

Member Waldner commented he agreed with President Brown's comments on the wording of the amendment. He noted that President Brown spoke against the principle of Section 2 and if he had a problem with the wording, he could modify it. Member Waldner suggested an amendment to the amendment.

Member Waldbillig commented the amendment to the amendment changes the whole section and might as well just stay with the original amendment.

Member Waldner commented the Executive has encouraged the members not to vote in favour of the amendment solely because it adds bulk to the middle of Section 2. Member Waldner noted his proposal is to get rid of the bulk, but keep the change in wording.

Member Schmeiser commented the issue with the proposed amendment to the amendment is that it removes the more substantial aspect of the amendment. He noted there is not a lot of awareness for members to get involved with the USSU. The point of the amendment is to promote that participation and create that awareness of how to get involved with the USSU.

Move to make an amendment to the amendment so that Section 2 will read, "The purpose of the Union is to represent, serve and support the academic and non-academic needs of members through accountable leadership; and to protect and enhance the quality and accessibility of public education."

AGMMotion06: Member Waldner/Member Wanis **Carried**

Member Nelson commented the wording in Section 2 is unclear and too broad.

Member Peach noted the current purpose in Section 2 is somewhat vague. The amendment is putting a constraint on the operation of the USSU.

Move to accept the proposed amendment.

AGMMotion07: Member Waldner/Member Wanis **Defeated**

7.2 Proposed amendment to Section 4

VP Werenka commented the purpose of this amendment is to encapsulate what exactly a Councilor is. Currently there are Councilors who do not represent a college, but rather represent constituencies. The definition of a Councilor should reflect that.

Member Fahlman asked if constituency was defined anywhere in the Bylaw. President Brown answered yes.

Move to amend Section 4 to read, "Councilor – means a person elected to represent a college of constituency;"

AGMMotion08: VP Werenka/President Brown **Carried**

7.3 Amendment to Section 4

Move to capitalize the word “aboriginal”.

AGMMotion08: President Brown/VP Werenka

Carried

7.4 Amendment to Section 7

Move to approve the proposed amendment to Section 7, which includes adding subsections (2) – (4).

Member Waldner commented this amendment accomplishes two things. The first, it restricts what the General Manager can arbitrarily not publish and therefore students cannot do. The second thing the amendment does is makes sure the General Manager gives students notice if their amendment to the Bylaw is refused, therefore not published and not voted on.

Member Anderson commented this is a lot of information in one amendment. She suggested Member Waldner speak to the process by which he developed the amendment and the consultations he made. She would like the members to know how Member Waldner came up with the proposed amendment.

Member Waldbillig suggested giving Member Waldner more speaking rights.

Move to change speaking rights to four turns at five minutes each for all members for this item only.

AGMMotion09: Member Waldbillig/Member Waldner

Carried

Member Waldner commented the only consultation was with a friend who is in the College of Law. He noted the current Bylaw reads that the General Manager will reject an amendment if it against the spirit and intent of the Bylaw.

President Brown noted it is not the General Manager who refuses amendments, but the USSU lawyer. The lawyer informs the General Manager of inadequate amendments. President Brown spoke against the amendment. He noted a lawyer has written the Bylaw. He commented that accepting this amendment limits the lawyer’s ability to refuse specific items.

Member Anderson also spoke against the amendment noting there was not proper consultation done for the size of the amendment. This amendment brings in a lot of rules and guidelines. Although the intentions seem to be good, this amendment was not developed properly.

Member Waldbillig commented in the past she has had amendments rejected with little time to make corrections or consult with the USSU lawyer. If the four days were given to students, they could change the amendment in time for the AGM.

President Brown commented the main opposition the Executive have to this amendment is subsection (3). He noted it might be a good idea to split the amendment into two parts, voting for subsection (4) and against (2) and (3).

Move to split the amendment into two sections – (2) & (3) as the first half and (4) as the second half.

AGMMotion010: President Brown/Member Fahlman

Carried

Debate commenced on subsection (2) & (3) of the amendment to Section 7.

Member Waldner commented members should vote yes if they want to have it left up to the students as to whether or not something should be included in the Bylaw or not, rather than a lawyer.

VP Heidel commented the Bylaw is a legal document that guides a four million dollar organization.

Member Smith commented the credentials of the lawyer are irrelevant to the spirit of the amendment. What Member Waldner is proposing is that this decision be put in the hands of the students and proposing democracy. It doesn't matter how educated the lawyer is, it is still one lawyer as opposed to the voice of the students.

VP Heidel commented this amendment is talking about proposed amendments that go against the principles of the organization or to completely change the organization.

Member Waldbillig commented she is frustrated because it is being suggested that students are uneducated.

Member Waldner commented currently the General Manager in consultation with the USSU lawyer would determine in a backroom what it means to be against the spirit and intent of the Bylaw. This amendment is to outline four points. If those four points don't catch something, then it is students who decide, rather than a lawyer. He suggested members vote in favour of the amendment.

Move to add subsection (2) & (3) to Section 7 of the USSU Bylaw.

AGMMotion011: Member Waldner

Defeated

Debate commenced on subsection (4) of Section 7 of the amendment.

VP Heidel commented this amendment does not change what the USSU has already been doing in practice. He noted the General Manager informs members when their amendment was denied. Making it more clear in the Bylaw is supported by the Executive.

Member Waldbillig asked if there was a way to get rejected amendments back in a timely fashion so that it can be re-written and approved for the AGM. VP Heidel commented members are welcomed to talk to the Executive and General Manager in advance as the USSU has an open door policy.

Councilor Bredohl noted the numbering has changed and it is now subsection (3) that is in debate.

Move to approve subsection (3) – previously subsection (4) - of the amendment to Section 7.

AGM Motion 012: Member Anderson/Member Smith

Carried

7.5 Proposed Amendment to Section 7 (7)

This amendment adds the language to Section 7 under subsection (2):
“Subject to subsections (1) and (2), if the Executive, Council, any standing committee or any ad hoc committee proposes to amend or repeal, in part or in whole, the Union’s bylaw; the proposed amendments shall be reviewed by the union’s lawyer and published not less than 15 days prior to the meeting of members. This subsection shall not limit the rights of individual members granted by the Articles, the Bylaw or the Act.”

Member Waldner noted this amendment is to make the Bylaw more democratic. If an official body of the USSU wants to propose an amendment, they would have to have the amendment in early so that members could make a proposed change. This has been an issue in the past as the Executive for the past three years has sprung amendments on students without opportunity to make any suggestions.

Member Waldbillig spoke in favour of the amendment. She noted in the past students and Executive members have proposed amendments to the same sections, which then becomes an issue.

VP Heidel commented the cost of an AGM or SGM is budgeted at \$600.00. VP Heidel noted the USSU Bylaw is purposely vague, as all non-profit corporation bylaws are. They purposely only contain the rules and values, which are each extremely important, that define the organization and should not contain specific procedural items. VP Heidel noted the Executive would like to speak against this amendment. The amendment is contrary to the principles to the Non Profit Corporation Act and creates unnecessary complications. Lastly, the amendment does not solve the problem it seeks to solve, which can be solved in better ways. The Act is very specific in pointing out that all members and all groups of members be treated equally when it comes to voting privileges and ability to propose motions or amendments. This amendment changes that to such that the Executive or Council as a

whole is treated differently than an individual member. The USSU lawyer had some big concerns and said it may be contrary to the Act and illegal. The problem that the amendment seeks to solve is that sometimes the Executive or students try to push through amendments without proper consultations. The USSU believes that consultations shouldn't happen online between people submitting amendments and counter amendments. This is not the best way the conversation should happen. VP Heidel noted a better solution would be to continue the open door policy where if students would like to submit an amendment they can talk to the Executive.

Member Fadlman noted he is also not in favour of the amendment. He noted it was pointless and agrees with VP Heidel. To make an amendment of this nature, one needs to know the Bylaw, a large governing document for the USSU, very well. Those who know the Bylaw very well are those who are directly working with it on a day-to-day basis. The Executive are the ones who work with the Bylaw daily.

Member Waldbillig pointed out a bylaw is a binding document and a policy is not. If you want something to be done, it is put into a bylaw. The Executive is not on equal playing ground as students. The Executive are paid to think about amendments as part as their job. Students do not have time to sit around and consider their amendments until last minute. Students do not have time to do consultations. Member Waldbillig noted not just the Executive have a history with the bylaw. There are members who have been involved with student governance for many years.

VP Heidel noted the policies the USSU has are binding, as according to Robert's Rules of Order. This USSU Bylaw is a legal document and guides the organization, which has a four million dollar budget, hundreds of employees and 20,000 members. It is important that when one seeks to change that document, that the proper consultations are made in advance.

Member Waldner commented VP Heidel noted only important items should go into the Bylaw and open dialogue about amendments is not important enough to the Executive to be in the Bylaw. The amendment creates and open dialogue so that the Executive can't just bring changes to an AGM and have give no opportunity for changes.

Move to approve the amendment to Section 7.

AGM Motion 013: Member Waldner/Member Richardson

Defeated

7.6 Amendment to Section 11 (1)

The amendment adds the language "Biannual" and "and March" to Section 11 (1).

Member Clouthier commented students should be given the opportunity to meet and discuss issues more than once in the school year. The meeting in November is a good chance to bring up issues that need to be addressed. Having a second meeting in March at the end of the school year gives students, especially those who start in the second term, to attend a general meeting.

President Brown spoke against the amendment. The Non Profit Organization Act notes only one Annual General Meeting needs to be held per year. President Brown commented that March is a busy time for students, so having a meeting at that time would not be a good idea and attendance would be low. If there is a pressing issue on campus that students want to talk about, there is an alternative avenue, which is a Special General Meeting.

Member Waldbillig spoke in favour of the amendment. She noted just because the USSU only needs one AGM, does not mean it should only hold one during the year. Having two meetings would not make the USSU in contradiction to the Act. She noted November is also a busy time for students. Member Waldbillig made a friendly amendment to remove the annual budget presentation from the March meeting. She noted Special General Meetings can only be held if passed by USC.

AGM Chair noted the friendly amendment is denied.

President Brown added students can get involved in student politics through many avenues, including USC.

Member Smith argued a SGM is called to address a certain issue, whereas an AGM is a way to keep in touch with students. Have two meetings a year encourages the Executive to engage more with the students. Member Smith recognizes there is an open door policy at the USSU and USC meetings, but biannual AGMs have a certain sense of formality that students appreciate.

Member LeBlanc argued that those members who do attend AGMs have made a significant effort to be in attendance and are interested in student politics.

Councilor Moccasin suggested the USSU hold a town hall meeting in the second semester as something less formal. Councilor Moccasin spoke against the amendment.

VP Heidel commented a town hall meeting is a great idea. He noted Robert's Rules of Order are fairly restrictive during an AGM. VP Heidel noted he would be in favour of organizing a town hall meeting to talk to students about their issues and concerns.

Member Waldbillig commented the Bylaw could not be changed at the town hall and nothing would be binding and only suggestions would be heard.

Member Waldner commented this amendment is trying to encourage the executive to have a mandatory opportunity for dialogue, but they are not in favour of that. He encouraged members to vote this amendment in.

Member Thompson commented students have the opportunity to be engaged every Thursday night at USC. He noted he does not see the benefit of having a biannual meeting in November and again in March.

Member Smith noted members cannot vote at the USC meetings. The spirit of this amendment is trying to bring more democracy and direct democracy to the students' union.

Move to approve the amendment to Section 11 (1).

AGMMotion014: Member Clouthier/Member Smith

Defeated

7.7 Amendment to Section 11 (2)

Amendment would add the language to create a subsection 2.(b), "a petition containing the signatures of at least 5% of membership is presented to council."

Member Schneider commented establishing a second route to hold a Special General Meeting by petition would allow members to have a more active voice and role in the union.

President Brown spoke in favour of the amendment.

Member Waldbillig asked how the 5% would be tallied over time. President Brown commented the University has it available for students on their website.

Member LeBlanc commented the wording of the amendment looks like both points need to be met to call an SGM. He commented inserting "or;" after subsection (a) would make the amendment correct.

Move to amend the amendment to insert "or;" to the end of subsection (a).

AGMMotion015: Member LeBlanc/President Brown

Carried

Move to approve the amendment to Section 11 (2).

AGMMotion016: Member Schneider/Member Maler

Carried

7.8 Amendment to Section 18

Amendment would add subsection (b) to read: "Council shall hold a special resolution of non-confidence, as outlined in subsection (a), if a petition requesting the removal of a member of the executive containing the signatures of at least 7.5% of members is presented to Council."

Member Waldner commented this amendment allows members to make a petition to have a vote to remove an Executive member. If that petition gets at least 7.5% of the signatures of members, then Council would have to hold a vote.

President Brown spoke in favour of the amendment. If there is a petition of 7.5% of members to remove an Executive member, it is likely due to something major.

Move to approve the amendment to Section 18.

AGMMotion017: Member Waldner/President Brown

Carried

7.9 Amendment to Section 20

Amendment adds subsection (g), which reads, "Shall protect and defend the USSU's autonomy."

President Brown commented he got the amendment idea from the Board of Governors. As an Executive member you have to protect and defend the autonomy of the USSU. The amendment shows future Executive members that the university and the government should not be controlling the organization that represents students. The USSU should not be a puppet of the university or government.

VP Heidel made a friendly amendment to remove the word "shall".

Member Wallbillig commented this amendment is too vague in it's wording. President Brown noted this amendment is to protect students from outside sources. The Students' Union is here for students.

Move to approve the amendment to Section 20.

AGMMotion018: President Brown/VP Heidel

Carried

7.10 Amendment to Section 23

Move to amend the amendment so to split subsection (1) and (2) into two separate motions.

AGMMotion019: Member Waldner/VP Heidel

Carried

Member Waldner commented this amendment to Section 23 (1) is to change the percentages to reflect the number of students. The amendment would change the composition of Council by removing a Councilor from nursing and an extra Councilor to Arts & Science.

VP Heidel spoke in favour of this amendment.

VP Werenka commented that currently Councilors are elected in March. She asked for clarification as to when the statistics would be done and if the previous year's numbers would be used.

President Brown commented the Registrar has consistently published the statistics of enrollment in October, therefore the previous year's numbers would be used to calculate the number of Councilors for one College.

Member Waldner commented part two of the amendment explains how the statistics are compiled and by whom. He noted the point of this first amendment is to shift the way representation is done so that it is fairer.

Councilor Moellenbeck commented her concern is that Council currently has two International representatives and asked if one of them would be cut from Council. President Brown responded that this amendment would in no way remove a Councilor currently and would take practice starting in March.

Member Waldner noted it is very likely that next year the International Students' percentage will go above 7.5% entitling them to two Councilors.

Move to approve the amendment to section 23 (1).

AGMMotion019: Member Waldner/Member Clouthier

Carried

Member Waldner commented the amendment to Section 23 (2) is in response to a constitutional crisis last year. The ISC asked for the representation they deserved and the Executive said they didn't deserve it. This caused a serious conflict in determining who interprets the Bylaw in this regard. Extra expense was taken to talk to the lawyer over this topic. Member Waldner commented this amendment is important to elections and who gets seats and who makes up Council.

VP Heidel spoke against the amendment to the second part of Section 23. He noted the Bylaw should not be a step-by-step procedure, which this amendment is. He noted this amendment is not necessary.

Member Waldner commented he would recommend the Executive put this amendment information into the Elections Policy, rather than striking it down.

VP Heidel made the commitment to review the Elections Policy and ensure it is very specific as to how and when the Elections Committee and Chief Returning Officer should go about collecting numbers.

President Brown also made a commitment to review the Policy as he is Chair of the Elections Committee.

Move to approve the amendment to Section 23 (2).

AGMMotion020: Member Waldner/Member Senecal

Defeated

7.11 Proposed Amendment to Section 27

Member Waldner commented this amendment is clarifying what happens when a petition is brought forward. Past VP OpFin Reid Nystuen brought this topic up last year and it was never voted on.

VP Heidel spoke in favour of the amendment as it seeks to accomplish making the removal process more standard and simple across the board.

Move to approve amendment to Section 27.

AGMMotion021: Member Waldner/VP Heidel

Carried

7.12 Amendment to Section 46

Move to approve the amendment to Section 46.

AGMMotion022: Member Waldner/President Brown

Carried

7.13 Amendment to Section 82

Member LeBlanc commented this amendment adds constituencies as another party that officeholders should consult, in addition to Council.

VP Kanyemba spoke against the amendment. She noted in the following amendment, the Executive would address this problem.

Member Waldbillig asked to see the second amendment for clarification.

President Brown commented the Executive and Member Waldner have both put amendments in to Section 82, which accomplish the same thing as this amendment to Section 82 (1), but adds more.

Member Waldner argued that the two following amendments to Section 82 do not add to the amendment being looked at currently.

Member Waldbillig suggested passing all three amendments to Section 82.

Member LaBlanc commented passing all three would result in redundant language. He asked if there are mechanisms in place to remove the redundant language if all three are passed and if it would be problematic. President Brown commented it would be an issue.

Move to approve the amendment to Section 82.

AGMMotion023: Member LeBlanc/Member Smith

Defeated

7.14 Amendment to Section 82

Amendment adds subsections (5) – (7).

President Brown commented when the Executive proposed this amendment, it was in three separate parts. He noted each should be voted on one at a time.

Member Waldner commented Robert's Rules of Order state members can ask the Chair to conduct a straw poll to see if members have a problem with any of the amendments so they don't have to be broken up and can be voted on as a whole.

AGM Chair asked if there was any opposition to any part of the proposed amendment. Member Waldner noted she was in opposition to splitting the amendment, noting it was already late in the evening.

Move to split the amendment into three parts.

AGMMotion024: President Brown/Councilor Adams

Defeated

Amendment will stay as one piece and all parts will be voted on at one time.

President Brown noted subsection (5) relates to the amendment voted on earlier where Executive members will protect and defend the USSU's autonomy. This subsection relates to Councilors defending and protecting the USSU's autonomy.

VP Kanyemba spoke to subsection (6). This amendment is in regards to University Council, which is the governing body that governs all decisions pertaining to anything academic related. The University Council is made up of faculty, administration and students. It is highly important to have undergraduate student members fill those student positions on University Council. This amendment proposes MSCs fill those student positions.

VP Werenka commented on subsection (7) of the proposed amendment. The biweekly report would be an oral report given to Council about certain happenings in each college.

VP Heidel made a friendly amendment as the word “initiative” was spelt wrong in subsection (7).

Member Waldner commented he met with the Executives in order to seek clarification as to whether officeholders, as part of this section, would indeed apply to anyone who holds office. Students at Large are examples.

VP Heidel noted he checked and the title in this case, The Duties of Councilors, applies to the entire section and means officeholders in this section only pertains to Councilors.

Councilor Sherbino spoke against the amendment to Section 82. He noted he had a problem with subsection (6). According to the University of Saskatchewan Act, it is up to the Students’ Union to run the elections for a member of student council. In addition, according to that Act, students of that particular college are the ones who may be elected. Some colleges or groups have more than one representative and this amendment does not speak to the issue of which representative would have to be on University Council. Legally the USSU does not have the authority to vote on this. Councilor Sherbino also commented, in regards to subsection (7), that each constituency should make bi-weekly reports.

VP Kanyemba commented she tired to get around double representation from colleges on University Council when writing this amendment. An alternative would be to hold a separate election for University Council members. This is risky, as there may not be enough people running.

Member Waldner noted an amendment could be made to the amendment subsection (6).

Move to approve amendment to Section 82.

AGMMotion024: President Brown/VP Werenka

Carried

7.15 Amendment to Section 82

This amendment adds additional duties to subsection (5).

Member Waldner commented this amendment gives more opportunities for Councilors to interact with their student society. He noted the Executive plans to change this amendment so that Councilors would have to do certain things on a regular basis in regards to their student society.

President Brown recommended an amendment to the amendment which would strike out subsection 5 and (c), (d) and (d), but (a) & (b) would be placed under the newly voted on subsection 7. He noted the Executive couldn't force Councilors to engage with their student societies.

Member Waldner commented the amendment to the amendment changes the whole meaning of the original amendment. He asked the AGM Chair to rule it in order or not. He noted the amendment to the amendment does not meet the requirements to the Act and would be illegal.

AGM Chair ruled the amendment in order.

Member LeBlanc commented he would advocate keeping subsection 5 (e) of the original proposed amendment.

President Brown commented he would agree to keep subsection 5 (e) of the original amendment and made a friendly amendment to the amended amendment.

Member Waldner spoke against the amendment to the amendment. He noted the amendment to the amendment is restricting Councilors.

Move to call to question.

AGM Motion 025: VP Heidel/President Brown **Carried**

Move to amend the amendment to strike out subsection 5 & (c) and (d). In addition, (a), (b) & (e) would be placed under the new subsection 7.

AGM Motion 026: President Brown/Councilor Richardson

Move to approve the amendment to Section 82.

AGM Motion 027: Member Waldner/Councilor Huberdeau **Carried**

8. Questions and Comments for the Executive

Councilor Ogunkanmi commented the university employs many students on campus. He asked what the Executive what the USSU was doing in regard to keeping track of these student jobs.

President Brown commented the USSU has no say as to what jobs on campus the students can apply for. The USSU does have some statistics as to how many students work for the USSU, but not the university. The USSU cannot dictate how the university hires or not hire students. VP Werenka noted the USSU makes an effort to hire students. She commented employees at Browsers & Louis' are majority students. Student Crew also only hires students.

Councilor Ogunkanmi commented he would like to see more advertising for future AGMs to get more students out. He also would like to hear more questions and comments from students and less arguing about amendments. President Brown noted it was mentioned earlier in the night that a town hall would be held to discuss student issues. VP Werenka added the point of an AGM is to discuss amendments to the Bylaw.

Member Clouthier asked what actions are being taken in regard to proposed tuition hikes. President Brown commented nothing has been brought to the Board of Governors in regard to tuition hikes. He suspects the Board will be discussing tuition in the next four months.

9. Any Other Business

10. Adjournment

AGM Motion 28: Member Thompson/President Brown

Carried

The meeting was adjourned at 9:04 p.m.